**Omissions**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Gender** | **Age** | **Year of School** | **Resident /Commuter?** | **Resident Hall** | **Gym/Sports** | **Shower other places** | **Avg. Showers per week** | **Avg. Shower time** | **Occasionally longer** | **Can reduce?** |
| Lizard Fluid | 9000 | Graduate Student | Commuter | The moon. | Yes | Yes | 9000 | 9000 | Yes | 9000 |
| Mail | 2.14756 | Senior | Resident | In your mind. | Yes | Yes | 1.123456789 | 1.123456789 | Yes | No |

With the original focus group, not many of the entries were omitted from the calculations, however, the 2 seen above were. The various pieces of data included in the entries had threw off the statistical measures done by a large margin. These entries also demonstrate one sticking point of surveys, that some people who take the survey will not take them seriously if given the ability to. Such entries, as stated previously, can skew the final results if not properly omitted and if they were in greater numbers would cause the results of the survey to be negligible and in the worst case redone.